

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/705,695 11/10/2003 Chang Chun-Feng 14038 B 5234 36672 7590 05/02/2005 **EXAMINER** CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ. STASHICK, ANTHONY D 90 JOHN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER THIRD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10038 3728

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$oldsymbol{arphi}$	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/705,695	CHUN-FENG, CHANG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony Stashick	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MON	TH/C) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are possible to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this considered (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
O) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ill Date nal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Musci 4,409,745. Musci '745 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: a sole 24, on a surface of which is provided with a locating hole 26; a heel 16 having a top surface (see Figure 1); on the top surface of the heel is formed a locating groove 92 which corresponds to the locating hole of the sole (see Figure 9); a connecting sheet 12; a bottom of the connecting sheet provided with an engaging column 20; the engaging column traveling through the locating hole of the sole and then inserting in the locating groove of the heel (see Figures 1 and 9); on the top surface of the heel is formed with a sidewall 86 which defines a locating groove; the sidewall of the heel is inserted in the locating hole of the sole (Figures 1 and 9); the top surface 88 of the heel abuts against the lower surface of the sole (Figures 1, 9 and 11).
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis 5,675,916. Lewis '916 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: a sole 18, on a surface of which is provided with a locating hole 24; a heel 6 having a top surface (see Figure 5); on the top surface of the heel is formed a locating groove 33 which corresponds to the locating hole of the sole (see Figure 5); a connecting sheet 76; a bottom of the connecting sheet provided with an engaging column 75; the engaging column traveling through the locating hole of the sole and then inserting in the locating groove of the heel (see Figure 5); a shallow recess is formed at the rear end of the top surface

Art Unit: 3728

of the sole such that a bottom surface of the connecting sheet is allowed to abut against the bottom of the recess of the sole (see Figure 8); the top surface of the heel abuts against the lower surface of the sole (see Figure 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Musci 4,409,745. Musci '745 discloses all the limitations of the claims including a tenon 80 located in the bottom of the engaging groove and tenon hole 70 located in the engaging column of the connecting sheet to aid in connecting the heel to the upper and aligning the fastening means. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to place more than one tenon and hole in the heel and column of the connecting sheet to prevent the heel from rotating when fastened to the shoe sole.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musci 4,409,745 as applied to claim 1 above in view of Lewis 5,675,916. Musci '7445 as applied to claim 1 above discloses all the limitations of the claim except for the shallow recess formed at the rear end of the top surface of the sole allowing the bottom surface of the connecting sheet to abut against the bottom of the recess of the sole. Lewis '916 teaches that a shallow recess can be formed at the rear end of the top surface of the sole such that a bottom surface of the connecting sheet is allowed to abut against the bottom of the recess of the sole (see Figure 8) to aid in preventing the feeling of the connecting sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made, to countersink the connection sheet into the upper surface of the sole, as taught by Lewis '916,

to prevent the user from feeling the connection sheet separate from that of the sole.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure and are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 571-272-4561. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am until 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

ADS